

UNITED NATIONS COMMAND
MILITARY ARMISTICE COMMISSION
APO 301

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-FIRST MEETING
OF
THE MILITARY ARMISTICE COMMISSION

HELD AT MAC HQ AREA, KOREA

28 APRIL 1962

MEMBERS PRESENT

UNITED NATIONS COMMAND

RADM ROBERT T. S. KEITH, USN
M/GEN SHIN SANG CHUL, ROKA
B/GEN PATRICK H. DEVINE, USA
B/GEN JOHN M. HUTCHISON, USAF
BRIG ROBERT E. LODER, BA

KOREAN PEOPLE'S ARMY
CHINESE PEOPLE'S VOLUNTEERS

M/GEN CHANG CHONG HWAN, KPA
M/GEN JEN JUNG, CPV
M/GEN YOM SANG KI, KPA
M/GEN OH JAE WON, KPA
COL KIM WON SOK, KPA

Meeting convened at 1100 hours

KPA/CPV:

I have a statement to make on the grave provocative actions committed by your side in the Demilitarized Zone again recently.

Around 0315 hours on April 6, 1962, armed personnel of your side fired over 80 shots at our side with various small arms including heavy machine-guns in the vicinity of 38 degrees 16 minutes 17 seconds North, 127 degrees 05 minutes 24 seconds East in your portion of the Demilitarized Zone.

Around 1000 hours on April 9, three days after that, two armed personnel of your side appearing in the vicinity of 38 degrees 06 minutes 36 seconds North, 126 degrees 55 minutes 35 seconds East in your portion of the Demilitarized Zone fired two bullets at our side.

Again around 1040 hours on April 10, the following day, armed personnel of your side fired 100 shots at our side in the vicinity of 38 degrees 16 minutes 17 seconds North, 127 degrees 05 minutes 24 seconds East in your portion of the Demilitarized Zone, directing the fire with tracer shells.

Also around 0320 hours on April 11, armed personnel of your side fired over 30 rounds at our side in the vicinity of 38 degrees 15 minutes 40 seconds North, 127 degrees 05 minutes 00 seconds East in your portion of the Demilitarized Zone, and again around 0340 hours on the same day they fired more than 120 shots at our side with various small arms including automatic weapons

MAC/151

KPA/CPV: (CONT'D)

in the vicinity of 38 degrees 15 minutes 38 seconds North, 127 degrees 05 minutes 08 seconds East in your portion of the Demilitarized Zone.

Around 2040 hours on April 14, three days after that, armed personnel of your side fired over 80 bullets at our side in the vicinity of 38 degrees 15 minutes 17 seconds North, 127 degrees 04 minutes 13 seconds East in your portion of the Demilitarized Zone.

Such provocative acts on the part of your side were again committed on April 16, 1962 on three occasions: around 0355 hours on that day armed personnel of your side fired more than 250 rounds at our side with various small arms including heavy machine-guns in the vicinity of 38 degrees 17 minutes 47 seconds North, 127 degrees 08 minutes 52 seconds East in your portion of the Demilitarized Zone; around 0600 hours on the same day armed personnel of your side fired three shots at our side in the vicinity of 38 degrees 06 minutes 36 seconds North, 126 degrees 55 minutes 32 seconds East in your portion of the Demilitarized Zone; again around 2150 hours on the same day armed personnel of your side fired more than 10 bullets at our side in the vicinity of 38 degrees 15 minutes 40 seconds North, 127 degrees 05 minutes 00 seconds East in your portion of the Demilitarized Zone.

All the disclosed facts irrefutably prove that the hostile actions by your personnel are organized and premeditated ones.

Taking into consideration the fact that all these grave, provocative acts have been committed in succession at the same time when your side recently has been frantically creating bellicose atmosphere by making more maliciously various military clamours smelling of gunpowder in all parts of south Korea, and when U.S. bellicose generals have more frequently visited south Korea and made hasty trips around the front line areas to hatch sinister plots to unleash war, it is quite clear what objectives those actions were aimed at.

By conducting recently provocative firings against our side in the Demilitarized Zone, your side violated the Preamble of the Armistice Agreement stipulating for insuring a complete cessation of hostilities and of all acts of armed force in Korea until the peaceful settlement of the Korean question is achieved, Paragraph 6 providing that neither side shall execute any hostile act within the Demilitarized Zone, Paragraph 12 stipulating for

KPA/CPV: (CONT'D)

enforcing a complete **cessation** of all hostilities, and Paragraph 14 providing for respecting the Demilitarized Zone and the land area under the military control of the opposing side.

Besides, your side violated Paragraph 10 of the Armistice Agreement and the agreement reached between both sides at the fourth meeting of the Military Armistice Commission by bringing into the Demilitarized Zone various automatic weapons including heavy machine-guns and letting your civil police carry them.

Not only this, your side violated Paragraph 17 of the Agreement stipulating for insuring complete compliance with all of the provisions of the Armistice Agreement, because your side did not take measures necessary to cease violations by its personnel.

In order to safeguard Korean armistice and achieve the peaceful settlement of the Korean question, all these violations of the Armistice Agreement and hostile actions by your side should be condemned trenchantly and stopped at once.

I lodge a strong protest with your side against the prearranged and organized hostile acts it has committed again recently in disregard of the repeated protests and warnings from our side.

At the same time, I demand that your side severely punish in accordance with Sub-paragraph 13e of the Armistice Agreement all of your personnel who are indulged in dangerous pranks of toying with fire and take thorough-going and practical measures to prevent a recurrence of similar provocations in the future.

UNC:

In accordance with the spirit and intent of the Armistice Agreement, the United Nations Command will conduct an investigation of the charges you alleged to have occurred on the 6th, the 9th, the 10th, the 11th, the 14th, and the 16th of April.

KPA/CPV:

As I have already mentioned, your side recently fired nearly 700 shots

HPA/CPV: (CONT'D)

toward our side with various small arms including heavy machine-guns by leading the direction of fire with tracer shells at the same time when warmongers from the Pentagon were fanning war atmosphere in south Korea, inspecting the front line of your side.

No one can allege that the hostile act by your side was caused by indiscreet behavior of any individual personnel of your side, but, needless to say, it was a prearranged provocation organized and executed by the military authorities of your side.

Your side has revealed once again to the whole world how desperately it is bent on igniting another war of aggression by continuously introducing in flagrant violation of the Armistice Agreement mass-destruction weapons and manpower strength into south Korea on the one hand, and, on the other, by committing recently vicious hostile acts against our side with the design of fomenting a serious dispute.

In order to insure the stability of Korean armistice, your side should cease its reckless play with fire and take a practical step to prevent a recurrence of similar provocations in the future.

I have a statement to make on another grave violation of the Armistice Agreement committed by your side.

Around 1025 hours on April 25, 1962, one submarine chaser of your side appearing from the south intruded into the coastal waters of our side in the vicinity of 37 degrees 39 minutes 30 seconds North, 125 degrees 22 minutes 30 seconds East.

The naval vessel of your side which had illegally intruded into our coastal waters even entered into the waters as close as 1.5 miles to the coast of our side under cover of a thick fog on the morning of that day, cruising thereabout, and departed in the direction of Yunpyung-do island around 1145 hours that day.

Of late, your side conducted provocative firings at our side in the Demilitarized Zone, while dispatching your naval craft into our coastal waters to commit hostile acts. This clearly demonstrates that they are prearranged and provocative actions designed to provoke grave disputes.

KPA/CPV: (CONT'D)

In order to insure the stability of Korean armistice, such provocations on the part of your side must be ceased immediately.

I lodge a serious protest against the fresh violation by your side of Paragraph 15 of the Armistice Agreement which provides: all opposing naval forces shall respect the waters contiguous to the Demilitarized Zone and to the land area of Korea under the military control of the opposing side, by illegally dispatching its naval vessel into our coastal waters in disregard of the repeated protests and warnings from our side.

At the same time, I demand that your side severely punish all the personnel of your side involved in the recent criminal action and take a practical step to prevent a recurrence of similar hostile actions.

UNC:

The world is well aware of the desire of all the United Nations for peace. The actions of the United Nations Command through nearly 9 years is ample proof of this. Your additional allegation will be investigated in accordance with the terms of the Armistice Agreement.

KPA/CPV:

It is an inescapable obligation for your side to make responsible investigations into its violations of the Armistice Agreement and take appropriate actions promptly.

I again urge your side to make thorough investigations into the recent sea intrusion as your side promised and take proper measures for that.

I now charge your side with another grave violation of the Armistice Agreement designed to commit hostile acts and unleash another war against our side.

At the 150th meeting of the Military Armistice Commission held some time ago, our side lodged a serious protest against your overt introduction of reinforcing naval craft into south Korea in gross violation of the Armistice Agreement and strongly demanded that your side immediately stop such criminal act and ship out of Korea all new-type weapons and combat equipment illegally introduced.

KPA/CFV: (CONT'D)

Those demands of our side not only fully accorded with the requirement and spirit of the Armistice Agreement but also were essential in eliminating war danger in Korea, safeguarding the peace and security in Korea and converting the Korean armistice into a durable peace.

This notwithstanding, your side has not complied with these just demands of ours, but on the contrary, frantically kept reinforcing its armed strength. Your side has again recently committed such criminal act as to illegally introduce into south Korea six naval vessels including four landing ships.

The act of your side having recently introduced its naval vessels is ever more serious because it was committed simultaneously with the extensive expansion of military installations in south Korea, the frantic furtherance of the program to reinforce the south Korean puppet army and the incessant provocative and hostile acts committed against our side on the ground, at sea and in the air.

In disregard of our repeated protests and denunciations, your side again recently has reinforced its armed strength. This constitutes an open act of aggression and a grave provocation endangering peace in Korea.

The Korean people never tolerate but scathingly denounce such aggressive act and provocative move of you U.S. imperialist aggressors.

Through the illegal introduction into Korea of the reinforcing naval craft effected again recently with a view to committing hostile acts and unleashing another war against our side, your side has flagrantly violated not only Sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement but also its Preamble setting forth the provision to insure a complete cessation of hostilities and of all acts of armed force in Korea until a final peaceful settlement is achieved.

I lodge a serious protest with your side against its recent introduction of naval craft in gross violation of the Armistice Agreement and demand that your side immediately ship out of Korea all the new-type weapons and combat equipment illegally introduced and give an assurance at this table that it will altogether cease reinforcing illegally its armed strength.

UNC:

You are again back on the subject of paragraph 13d.

At the 150th Military Armistice Commission meeting you asked me the specific question "Do you speak for the United Nations Command?" You were assured that my predecessors and I have spoken for the United Nations Command. No superior of mine has contradicted the statements regarding paragraph 13d made here and recorded in the minutes of the Military Armistice Commission. Now you must answer my specific question. But I warn you, weigh carefully your answer. For by that reply your statements made here will be gauged. Your reply will reveal the truth or falsehood of the position you have taken here at this table. Do you speak for the Korean People's Army? Do your superiors support your position? Give me your responsible answer.

KPA/CPV:

Your question is simply ridiculous.

Your side takes an improper attitude of evading the discussion of the subject before us again today as it has invariably done in the past in a silly attempt to cloak its criminal acts of having flagrantly wrecked sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement.

But such a tactic on your part cannot exempt your side from the responsibility for the introduction into south Korea of its naval vessels in another gross infringement of sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement.

As is clearly shown by the records of this Commission meeting, our side has presented numerous data substantiating the falsity of the argument on what you call the maintenance of the relative balance of military strength which was introduced by your side at the conference table of this Commission in order to justify its criminal act of having unilaterally given up complying with Sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement.

But your side has only piled up hollow nonsense with no factual basis at all, thus failing to make a single direct answer in response to the reasonable assertions and charges made by our side on the basis of objective data.

KPA/CPV: (CONT'D)

Out of the numerous data proving the utter falsity of the usual allegation that your side unilaterally gave up complying with Sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement because our side had built up military capability, I now point out first of all the following fact.

Immediately before your side unilaterally gave up complying with Sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement, namely, on August 2, 1956, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff of the U.S., Radford, came to Seoul and blared, "The Korean Armistice Agreement must be changed before the United Nations Command could introduce new weapons" while chattering that the United Nations Command would have to introduce modern arms including atomic weapons into south Korea sooner or later.

Thus Radford, who was in a position to issue orders and instructions to you, stated that he would breach the Armistice Agreement in order to introduce atomic weapons into south Korea.

What is your explanation of these remarks made by Radford?

Give me your reply!

UNC:

To my question there is a simple answer yes or no. Can you answer? The statement of your Chief of General Staff whom I assume can issue orders also, made as you marked the founding of the Korean People's Army and broadcast to the world stating what you have done (in violation of paragraph 13d) during the Armistice and contrary to every statement your side has made here serves to confirm the charges made by our side which was based on our observations and obvious military fact that your side has never observed the requirements of paragraph 13d. You have stated that you have carried out all provisions of the Armistice Agreement. Obviously your position here is not supported by your superiors. The world has judged your motives, the world knows that you do not carry out agreements. The world now has additional evidence from the words of your Chief of General Staff by which to judge the Korean People's Regime and its statements.

KPA/CPV:

Your charge has no factual basis whatsoever and will only excite ridicule of the world public.

It is evidence of your weakness to evade the discussion of the subject brought up.

If you have the slightest intent to justify the allegation of your side, make a clear-cut answer to my question not repeating the monotonous simple hollow words.

What is your explanation of Radford's remarks?

UNC:

I assumed that you were aware of the statements to which I refer specifically.

The United Nations Command position regarding paragraph 13d has been adequately covered at these meetings by me and my predecessors. As explained in detail at the 75th meeting of the Military Armistice Commission our side stated that as long as your side continued the gross violations of paragraph 13d the United Nations Command considered itself relieved of corresponding obligations.

It was apparent as early as the 28th meeting, 18 November 1953, that your side did not intend to comply with paragraph 13d. At the 38th meeting on 9 March 1954, and the 39th meeting on 18 March 1954, the United Nations Command noted your failure to abide by the provisions of paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement. You have failed, in every case, to admit your side's non-compliance with this important provision of the Armistice Agreement, despite the undeniable truths stated by our side.

Your own Chief of the General Staff, General KIM, Chang-Pong, stated in Pyongyang, on 7 February 1962, on the anniversary of the founding of the Korean People's Army, that QUOTE The Korean People's Army is incomparably stronger than at the time of the Korean War UNQUOTE. This proves the exact charge that we have made against your side since 1953. This is but another instance in which you have admitted to the build-up in northern Korea in violation of the Armistice Agreement. What better proof do you need than

UNC: (CONT'D)

that offered by your own Chief of the General Staff?

We hope that when this is translated into Korean that you will realize the futility of further discussions of this subject. Until you agree to withdraw all of your illegally introduced combat material from northern Korea we are going to continue to do what we told you at the 75th meeting in June 1957.

I do not intend to discuss this subject further and if you have no further business for this Commission, I propose that we recess.

KPA/CPV:

The saying, "A thief cries out 'Stop thief!'" is meant for the brazen-faced like you.

With such silly talks, your side can never succeed in relieving itself from its awkward position.

At present we are establishing facts in corroboration of the criminal acts of your side having introduced new-type weapons by unilaterally giving up complying with Sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement.

Don't dodge about from the discussion of the matter!

Do you recognize the actual deeds on your side tally with the remarks of Radford that atomic weapons would have to be introduced by undermining the Armistice Agreement so that your armed forces might be modernized?

Give me your reply!

UNC:

Awkward facts indeed!

Your Chief of Staff says one thing you say another. The situation is ridiculous.

KPA/CPV:

Such evasive action on your part unwittingly reveals that your side has no grounds at all to explain away its grave criminal acts of flagrantly violating Sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement.

Such conduct on your part also shows that your side has not the least concern for the observance of the Armistice Agreement and for the fulfill-

KPA/CPV: (CONT'D)

ment of its obligations assumed under it.

You should be faithful in the fulfillment of your obligations as the Senior Member of one side to the Military Armistice Commission desisting from your unwarrantable attitude.

Answer my question asked already.

UNC:

Obviously you and your superiors are not in agreement. Your Chief of General Staff has not only admitted but he has also boasted about your side's violations of paragraph 13. I suggest that you take time to consult your superior and then admit your obvious violations of 13d which are so apparent to the entire world.

KPA/CPV:

Through your inability to make an answer to my question, you have yourself testified to the sheer fallacy of the allegation by your side that it gave up unilaterally its compliance with Sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement for the maintenance of the relative balance of military strength.

As was clearly shown by the very remarks of Radford, it was aimed at introducing various new-type weapons and modern equipment to reinforce the U.S. imperialist aggressive forces occupying south Korea and modernize them, and not in the least at maintaining the relative balance of military strength that your side unilaterally gave up complying with Sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement.

Accordingly, your side can never disguise by any pretext or subterfuge its abominable character as the wrecker of the Armistice Agreement.

I now point to another fact establishing the criminal actions of your side.

At the 75th meeting of this Commission, Litzenberg, then Senior Member of your side, stated that your side will replace its old weapons with new items currently available since the equipment and weapons of the United Nations Command have become obsolete and outmoded, and those needing

KPA/CPV: (CONT'D)

replacement cannot be replaced from stocks on hand and currently in production, as a result of the long period of time since the Armistice went into effect.

This was the very argument on the basis of which your side unilaterally gave up complying with Sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement.

Well, in which paragraph of the Armistice Agreement is there a provision stipulating the replacement of the old weapons out of stock or production with new-type weapons?

Try and make answer!

UNC:

You already have the UN Command position on 13d. It is your side that has flagrantly violated this paragraph since the Armistice Agreement was signed on July 27, 1953.

This is proved as recently as February this year by your own Chief of the General Staff when he stated, and I repeat, QUOTE, The Korean People's Army is incomparably stronger than at the time of the Korean War, UNQUOTE. These are words you cannot change. You cannot eat them can you?

The continued violations of paragraph 13d by your side led to the provisional suspension of this paragraph of the Armistice Agreement which was first stated to this Commission at the 75th meeting in June 1957 and openly announced to the world.

KPA/CPV:

Your assertion has already been thoroughly refuted, and is not even worthy of further refutation.

Don't dodge and make an answer to my question!

Is it or isn't it contrary to the requirement of the Armistice Agreement to replace the old weapons out of stock or production with new-type weapons?

UNC:

To a military man "incomparably" stronger can mean but one thing. It means modern equipment. This, the UN Command has contended; this, your Chief of General Staff now admits; this, you now say you have in the Korean People's Army -- Modern equipment.

KPA/CPV:

You have again evaded an answer to my question using fantastic quibbles.

While trying hard to justify the "position" previously defined by your side, why are you so much unwilling to give an explanation of it?

I ask you whether the position previously defined by your side is in accord with the Armistice Agreement or against it.

Give me your reply!

UNC:

The UN position relative to 13d has been clearly stated. It will not change. It is in the record. Read it!

KPA/CPV:

Judging from your attitude, it is obvious that you yourself consider the position of your side unwarranted.

As is expressly shown by the provisions of the Armistice Agreement, the assertion that since its old weapons are out of stock or production, your side replaces them with new-type weapons is in contradiction to the provision of the Armistice that they may be replaced on the basis of piece-for-piece of the same effectiveness and the same type. Besides, the Armistice Agreement can never be swayed by the internal affairs of your side such as the stock of old weapons or their production.

I emphasize, therefore, that the position of your side is a robber's position, and that it will never help you justify the criminal acts of your side having introduced new-type weapons and modern equipment into south Korea.

Now I shall have to give a positive proof of another criminal act of your side.

Paragraphs 61 and 62 of the Armistice Agreement prescribe: "Amendments and additions to this Armistice Agreement must be mutually agreed to by the Commanders of the opposing sides" and "The articles and paragraphs of this Armistice Agreement shall remain in effect until expressly superseded either by mutually acceptable amendments and additions or by provision in an appropriate agreement for a peaceful settlement at a political level

KPA/CPV: (CONT'D)

between both sides."

This notwithstanding, your side unilaterally gave up complying with sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement and has habitually alleged it to be a proper action.

Then, when did your side reach an agreement with our side on any amendment to the Armistice Agreement and the invalidation of sub-paragraph 13d?

Give me your answer!

UNC:

You have not answered my questions.

I ask you again. Do you speak for the Korean People's Army? Do your superiors support your position?

Give me your answer!

KPA/CPV:

Your statement is like a painful scream of one who is hopelessly bogged in the mire; it isn't worth a farthing.

You should give a straight forward answer to my question instead of calling black white.

I again ask you:

Has your side ever reached an agreement with our side on any amendment to the Armistice Agreement and the invalidation sub-paragraph 13d?

Give me your reply!

UNC:

Are you saying that the Chief of your General Staff does not know what he is talking about?

KPA/CPV:

You are still evading a definite answer to my demand while reiterating absurd sophism which does not deserve even a passing note.

KPA/CPV: (CONT'D)

In view of your conduct, it is apparent that you still fail to realize the real meaning of my question.

I ask you more tersely:

Do you dare deny the iron-clad fact that the perfidious act of your side having announced the unilateral renunciation of its compliance with sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement constitutes a violation of paragraphs 61 and 62 thereof?

Give me your reply!

UNC:

You are repeating yourself.

It is apparent that you cannot give a responsible answer to the statement made by your Chief of General Staff.

KPA/CPV:

Evidently, you are in a great confusion now. Otherwise, there should be no reason for you to be unable to give a definite answer to such a simple question of mine, should there be?

I have asked you only one question, that is, do you dare deny the hard fact that the perfidious act of your side having announced the unilateral renunciation of its compliance with sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement constitutes a violation paragraphs 61 and 62 thereof?

Do not dodge about but answer this question only!

UNC:

Your Chief of General Staff says you have increased your strength which is an admission of violating 13d. We announced it, you announced it. We are in agreement.

KPA/CPV:

Such a preposterous lie will never help your side in exempting itself from its duties.

KPA/CPV: (CONT'D)

You have still failed to give an answer to my question.

Such conduct on your part is proof of your admission that:

1. It constitutes a gross violation of paragraphs 61 and 62 of the Armistice Agreement for your side to have announced the unilateral renunciation of its compliance with sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement;
2. The unilateral renunciation of its compliance with sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement is entirely unjustified;
3. Your side's introduction into South Korea of various new-type weapons including atomic weapons and guided missiles as well as new-type equipment is illegal and should be ceased, and all new-type weapons and combat equipment already illegally introduced into South Korea should be taken out of Korea without delay;
4. And you U.S. imperialist aggressors are betrayer and criminal who set at nought international law and the Armistice Agreement, overtly wrecking and violating them to commit aggression.

All this has been demonstrated by your own conduct, and I stress, therefore, that your side will have to change its position in the future.

UNC:

The United Nations position is clear. No further comment.

KPA/CPV:

Since you are unable to find any excuse for its own grave crimes, you have persisted in evading the carrying out of our just demand, harping on the monotonous words. With such futile tactics, your side can neither cover up its own crimes nor sidestep the demand of our side.

UNC:

You are obviously embarrassed by my revealing the admitted violations of paragraph 13d by your side - you are repeating.

KPA/CPV:

Since you have run out of words because of your own guilt, you have been despicable enough to commit such shameless act as to distort the truth.

The Korean people and the Korean People's Army will certainly give a crushing blow to and repulse all the foreign imperialists including the U.S. imperialists who harm us in the future as well, as it did in the past.

You should know clearly that if you take an ill-advised action it will only result in hastening your self-destruction.

Also at today's meeting, your side has failed to put forward any argument capable of justifying its own criminal act of introducing various weapons and equipment of new types into South Korea.

Furthermore, your side itself has demonstrated that all the allegations it has harped on so many times up to the present with a view to covering up its criminal actions were out-and-cut lies, that it constituted a grave violation of paragraphs 61 and 62 of the Armistice Agreement for your side to announce the unilateral renunciation of compliance with sub-paragraph 13d thereof, and that it is utterly unwarranted for it to have given up complying with sub-paragraph 13d of the Armistice Agreement.

These facts inexcusably prove that you U.S. imperialists are wrecker and violator of the Armistice Agreement, outright aggressor, war-maniac, and wicked swindler.

During your tenure of office alone, your side has shipped into South Korea new battle groups which received special training and were equipped with new-type weapons, great numbers of naval craft and modern fighters, while committing incessantly provocative and hostile acts on the ground, at sea, and in the air, in flagrant violation of provisions of the Armistice Agreement with the aim of further stepping up the preparations for another war of aggression.

In the past six months, your side dispatched a total of 58 naval vessels and six military aircraft into the coastal waters and territorial airspace of our side to commit hostile acts, smuggled a large number of

KPA/CPV: (CONT'D)

espionage agents into the area of our side, and incessantly fired bullets toward our side in the Demilitarized Zone with designs of provocation.

In an attempt to cover up these serious criminal acts of your side, you have invented various pretexts which are too flimsy to convince even a small child, while slandering our side by framing up groundless incidents, and went so far as to hinder the work of the Military Armistice Commission in every way by persisting in an intransigent and insincere attitude alone.

Besides, you have resorted pertinaciously to "anti-communist propaganda" which has nothing to do with the carrying out of the Armistice Agreement at every meeting of this Commission with a view to paralyzing the functions of the Military Armistice Commission and thus schemed to use the conference room of this Commission as a forum for "anti-communist propaganda" of the U.S. imperialists.

All these schemes on your part, however, have been thoroughly countered by our side on each occasion and also at today's meeting the true intent of your reckless action has been laid bare exhaustively.

You should know that whatever tactics your side may employ, it can neither succeed in covering up its criminal acts nor in exempting itself from the responsibility for them.

Our side will continue to call your side to account, until such time as your side complies with our demand for a strict compliance with all of the provisions of the Armistice Agreement including sub-paragraph 13d thereof.

I agree to your proposal for a recess.

Meeting recessed at 1313 hours

Following UNC Advisory Group members attended:

<u>COUNTRY</u>	<u>MEMBER</u>
Australia	Major Richard D. G. Rolfe
France	Major Henri R. P. Bernard
Greece	Major Michael S. Roufogalis
Republic of Korea	Captain <u>Oh</u> Myung Kyoon
New Zealand	Captain Richard M. Burrows
Philippines	LtCol Felix Pestana
United Kingdom	Major Kenneth Scragg
United States of America	LtCol Jack F. Belford

AUTHENTICATED:

WHEELER G. MERRIAM
Colonel, GS
Secretary